

International Network Generations Roadmap

-2021 Edition-

Satellite



An IEEE 5G and Beyond Technology Roadmap futurenetworks.ieee.org/roadmap

Wi-Fi® and Wi-Fi Alliance® are registered trademarks of Wi-Fi Alliance.

The IEEE emblem is a trademark owned by the IEEE.

"IEEE", the IEEE logo, and other IEEE logos and titles (IEEE 802.11TM, IEEE P1785TM, IEEE P287TM, IEEE P1770TM, IEEE P149TM, IEEE 1720TM, etc.) are registered trademarks or service marks of The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Incorporated. All other products, company names or other marks appearing on these sites are the trademarks of their respective owners. Nothing contained in these sites should be construed as granting, by implication, estoppel, or otherwise, any license or right to use any trademark displayed on these sites without prior written permission of IEEE or other trademark owners.

This edition of the INGR is dedicated to the memory of Earl McCune Jr., who left us tragically and too soon on 27 May 2020. Earl was a microwave/RF guru, brilliant technologist, major industry/IEEE contributor, global visionary, keen skeptic, and all around fantastic human being. He was a major contributor to the INGR's early work on energy efficiency, millimeter-wave, and hardware. He worked for a technologically advanced yet more energy efficient world, and the contents of the INGR are a tribute to that vision. Rest in peace, Earl!



Table of Contents

| 1. | Intr | oduction | 1 |
|----|-------|---|--------|
| 2. | Woi | rking Group Vison | 1 |
| | 2.1. | Scope of Working Group Effort | |
| | 2.2. | Linkages and Stakeholders | |
| | | - | |
| 3. | 100 | lay's Landscape | |
| | 3.1. | Current State of Technology and Research | 5 |
| | 3.2. | Drivers and Technology Targets | 6 |
| | 3.3. | Satellite 5G Deployment Challenges/Bottlenecks/Barriers from the Market Standp | oint |
| 4. | Futi | ure State (2031) | 7 |
| | 4.1. | Vision of Future Technology | |
| | 4.2. | Architectural Framework, Use Cases, and Reference Architecture | 8 |
| | | .2.1.1. Use case-1: DU to CU Bent Pipe/1-hop relay over a LEO satellite | 0 9 |
| | | 2.1.2. Use case-2: DU to CU over Multi-hop LEO non-federated Network | 10 |
| | 4. | .2.1.3. Use case-3: DU to CU over Multi-hop Federated Network | 10 |
| | 4. | .2.1.4. Use case-4: bent pipe/1-hop relay to DU to Gateway | 11 |
| | | .2.1.5. Use case-5: DU to Gateway backhaul over multi-hop LEO non-federated network | 11 |
| | | .2.1.6. Use case-6: DU to Gateway backhaul over multi-hop federated LEO network | 12 |
| | | .2.1.7. Use case-7: Terrestrial SBS to LEO bent-pipe/1-hop relay To eNodeB/eNodeG | |
| | | .2.1.8. Use case-8: Terrestrial SBS to eNodeB/eNodeG over LEO multi-hop non-federated network | |
| | | .2.1.9. Use case-9: Terrestrial SBS to eNodeB/eNodeG over LEO multi-hop federated LEO | |
| | | .2.1.10. Use case-10: UAVs as bentpipe/single-hop relay | |
| | | .2.1.11. Use case-11: UAV multi-hop backhaul | |
| | | .2.1.12. Use case-12a-b: UAV-LEO Integrated multi-hop backhaul | |
| | | .2.1.13. Use Cases for Separately Virtualized and Integrated Virtualized Satellite Networks | |
| | | 2. Reference Architectures | |
| | | 2.2.1. Reference Architecture-1: Non-Virtualized 5G-Satellite Networks | |
| | | .2.2.2. Reference Architecture-2: Separately Virtualized 5G-Satellite Networks | |
| | 4. | 2.2.3. Reference Architecture-3: Integrated Virtualized 5G-Satellite Networks | 21 |
| 5. | Nee | ds, Challenges, and Enablers and Potential Solutions | 22 |
| | 5.1. | Summary | 22 |
| | 5.1. | Applications and scenarios | 22 |
| | 5.1.1 | | 22 |
| | 5.1.2 | 2. Potential Solutions | 25 |
| | 5.2. | Architecture for 5G-satellite integration | 26 |
| | 5.2.1 | | 26 |
| | 5.2.2 | 9 | 27 |
| | | 2.2.1. Solution Description 1 | 27 |
| | | 2.2.2. Solution Description 2 | 27 |
| | 5. | .2.2.3. Solution Description 3 | 27 |
| | 5. | .2.2.4. Solution Description 4 | 28 |
| | | .2.2.5. Solution Description 5 | 28 |
| | | .2.2.6. Solution Description 6 | 28 |
| | 5. | .2.2.7. Solution Description 7 | 28 |

| 5.3. | New N | /IMO-based PHY | 28 |
|--------------|--------------------|--|----------|
| | . Cha | | |
| 5.3 | 3.1.1. | Challenges for achieving Capacity | 32 |
| | 3.1.2. | Challenges for achieving link Robustness | |
| | 3.1.3. | Challenges for achieving Security | |
| | | ential Solutions | |
| | 3.2.1. | Solutions to Achieving Capacity | |
| | 3.2.2. | Solutions for RF MIMO links | |
| | 3.2.3. | Solutions for wireless optical MIMO links | |
| | 3.2.4. | Solutions to Achieving Robustness | |
| 5.3 | 3.2.5. | Solutions to Achieving Security | 36 |
| 5.4. | Anten | nas & Payload | 37 |
| 5.4.1. | . Cha | lenges | 39 |
| 5.4.2. | . Pote | ential Solutions | 41 |
| 5.5. | Mach | ne Learning and Artificial Intelligence | 42 |
| 5.5.1. | | lenges | |
| 5.5.2. | | ential Solutions | |
| | | | |
| | | Computing | |
| 5.6.1. | | llenges | |
| | | ential Solutions | |
| | 6.2.1. 6.2.2. | | |
| | 6.2.2. 6.2.3. | | |
| | 6.2.4. | <i>y</i> | |
| | | | |
| | | QoE | |
| 5.7.1. | . Cha | lenges | |
| | | ential Solutions | 58 |
| | 7.2.1. | | G-6G |
| | stems) | | , |
| | 7.2.2. | Potential solutions to challenges #2 (QoS architecture and function virtualization for single- | _ |
| | yer nett 7.2.3. | vork) | 58 |
| _ | | Potential solutions to challenges #3 (QoS architecture and function virtualization for m vork) | _ |
| - | | | |
| | Secur | | |
| 5.8.1. | . Cha | llenges and Potential Solutions | 63 |
| 5.9. | Satell | ite Network Management | 64 |
| 5.9.1. | | ility Management in Satellite Networks | |
| 5.9.2 | | ility Management in Satellite Networks - Need #1 | |
| 5.9 | 9.2.1. | Support Satellite Location Management - Challenges | |
| 5.9 | 9.2.2. | Support Satellite Location Management - Potential Solutions | |
| 5.9.3. | . Mol | ility Management in Satellite Networks - Need #2 | |
| 5.9 | 9.3.1. | Support Terminal Handover - Challenges | |
| 5.9 | 9.3.2. | Support Terminal Handover - Potential Solutions | 67 |
| 5.9.4. | . Moł | ility Management in Satellite Networks - Need #3 | 68 |
| _ | 9.4.1. | Support Group of Terminals Handover - Challenges | |
| 5.9 | 9.4.2. | Support Group of Terminals Handover – Potential Solutions | |
| 5.9.5. | | io Resource Management in Satellite Networks | |
| 5.9.6. | | io Resource Management in Satellite Networks - Need #1 | |
| | 9.6.1. | Optimized Resource Management - Challenges | |
| | 9.6.2. | Optimized Resource Management – Potential Solutions | |
| 5.9.7. | | io Resource Management in Satellite Networks - Need #2 | |
| | 9.7.1. | Efficient Support of IoT Applications - Challenges | |
| 5.8 5.9.8 | 9.7.2. Pad | Efficient Support of IoT Applications – Potential Solutions | 72 72 |
| 7 4 X | หลก | ni nesimi e manavemeni in salemie Nelwolks - Neen #3 | // |

| 5.9.8.1. Efficient Interference Management and Spectrum Utilization - Challenges | 72 | | | |
|--|-----|--|--|--|
| 5.9.8.2. Efficient Interference Management and Spectrum Utilization – Solutions | 73 | | | |
| 5.9.9. Routing in Satellite Networks | 73 | | | |
| 5.9.10. Routing in Satellite Networks - Need #1 | 74 | | | |
| 5.9.10.1. Intersatellite Links - Challenges | 74 | | | |
| 5.9.10.2. Intersatellite Links – Potential Solutions | | | | |
| 5.9.11. Routing in Satellite Networks - Need #2 | /5 | | | |
| 5.9.11.1. On-Board Processing – Challenges | / S | | | |
| 5.9.12. Routing in Satellite Networks - Need #3 | | | | |
| 5.9.12.1. Dual RF/FSO – Challenges | | | | |
| 5.9.12.2. Dual RF/FSO – Potential Solutions | | | | |
| 5.9.13. Routing in Satellite Networks - Need #4 | | | | |
| 5.9.13.1. Routing Protocols – Challenges | | | | |
| 5.9.13.2. Routing Protocols – Potential Solutions | | | | |
| 5.9.14. Virtualization, Slicing and Softwarization in Satellite Networks | 79 | | | |
| 5.9.15. Network Function Virtualization in Satellite Networks - Need #1 | 79 | | | |
| 5.9.15.1. Network Function Virtualization – Challenges | | | | |
| 5.9.15.1. Network Function Virtualization – Potential Solutions | | | | |
| 5.9.16. Network Slicing in Satellite Networks - Need #2 | | | | |
| 5.9.16.1. Network Slicing - Challenges | | | | |
| 5.9.16.2. Network Slicing – Potential Solutions | | | | |
| 5.9.17. Software-Defined Satellite Networks - Need #3 | | | | |
| 5.9.17.1. Software-Defined Satellite Networks – Challenges | 81 | | | |
| 5.9.17.2. Software-Defined Satellite Networks – Potential Solutions | 82 | | | |
| 5.10. Standardization | | | | |
| 5.10.1. Needs | | | | |
| 5.10.2. Challenges | | | | |
| 5.10.3. Potential Solutions | 84 | | | |
| 6. Standardization Landscape and Vision | 84 | | | |
| 6.1. Interaction with Standardization WG | 84 | | | |
| 6.2. Landscape | 85 | | | |
| 7. Conclusions and Recommendations | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 7.1. Summary of Conclusions | | | | |
| 7.2. Working Group Recommendations | | | | |
| 7.2.1. Future Work | | | | |
| 8. Contributors | 88 | | | |
| 9. References | 90 | | | |
| 10. Acronyms/abbreviations | | | | |
| Appendices | | | | |
| Appendix A. 5G Application for Border Control | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Appendix B. Standardization | 104 | | | |

Tables

Table 1. Verticals and Drivers (Reference: S. Kota, Keynote Talk, EAI WiSAT 2020) 1

Table 2. Topics and Descriptions 3

Table 3. Current and Planned non-GSO Systems (Reference: S. Kota, "Spectrum Sharing Challenges for Non-Terrestrial Networks in 6G Era", NSF SII- THE 1st Best Nest Workshop, December 3-4, 2020, Worcester, MA) Table 4. Key Performance Indicators (Reference: N. Rajatheva et.al, White Paper on Broadband Connectivity in 6G) Table 5. Challenges Architectural Framework 8 Table 6. Interface equivalence for the interfaces defined in this document and 3GPP 38.821 Rel. 16 document for 5G-satellite integration Table 7. Challenges Associated with "Applications and Scenarios" 25 Table 8. Solutions Associated with "Applications and Scenarios" 25 26 Table 9. Overall Needs Table 10. Challenges Associated with "Architecture for 5G-satellite integration" 26 Table 11. Potential Solutions to Address "Architecture for 5G-satellite integration" 27 Table 12. Challenges Associated with "Integrated MIMO systems for Very High Throughput Satellite (VHTS) systems with secure waveforms and low complexity" 33 Table 13. Potential Solutions to Address "Integrated MIMO systems for Very High Throughput Systems (VHTS) with secure waveforms and low complexity" 36 Table 14. Overall Needs 37 Table 15. Current and future antenna technologies 38 Table 16. Challenges Associated with "Capacity Needs" 39 Table 17. Challenges associated with "Robustness" 40 Table 18. Challenges Associated with "Security" 41 Table 19. Potential Solutions for "Capacity Needs" 41 Table 20. Potential Solutions Associated with "Robustness" 42 Table 21. Potential Solutions to Address "Security" 42 Table 22. Challenges Associated with all "Needs" 44 Table 23. Potential Solutions to Address "Need #1: AI-driven network planning and routing" 45 Table 24. Potential Solutions to Address "Need #2: ML for positioning" Table 25. Potential Solutions to Address "Need #3: ML for applications including image/video delivery" 46 Table 26. Potential Solutions to Address "Need #4: AI-driven enhanced security" Table 27. Potential Solutions to Address "Need #5: ML for resource management" 47 Table 28. Potential Solutions to Address "Need #6: AI-driven physical layer communications" 47 Table 29. Challenges Associated with "MEC" 51 Table 30. Potential Solutions to Address "MEC" 51 Table 31. UE to satellite propagation delay (Reference: TS 22.261 [74]) Table 32. QoS requirements for satellite access (Reference: TS 22.261 [74]) 54

57

Table 33. Challenges Associated with "QoS/QoE"

| Table 34. Potential Solutions to Address "QoS/QoE" 58 | |
|--|-----|
| Table 35. Challenges to address the needs related to "Security" 64 | |
| Table 36. Challenges Associated with "Support Satellite Location Management" 65 | |
| Table 37. Potential Solutions to Address "Support Satellite Location Management" 66 | |
| Table 38. Challenges Associated with "Support Terminal Handover" 66 | |
| Table 39. Potential Solutions to Address "Support Terminal Handover" 67 | |
| Table 40. Challenges Associated with "Support Group of Terminals Handover" 68 | |
| Table 41. Potential Solutions to Address "Support Group of Terminals Handover" 69 | |
| Table 42. Challenges Associated with "Optimized Resource Allocation" 70 | |
| Table 43. Potential Solutions to Address "Optimized Resource Allocation" 70 | |
| Table 44. Challenges Associated with "Efficient Support of IoT Applications" 71 | |
| Table 45. Potential Solutions to Address "Efficient Support of IoT Applications" 72 | |
| Table 46. Challenges Associated with "Efficient interference management and spectrum utilization" | 73 |
| Table 47. Potential Solutions to Address "Efficient interference management and spectrum utilization 73" | on" |
| Table 48. Challenges Associated with "Intersatellite Links" 74 | |
| Table 49. Potential Solutions to Address "Intersatellite Links Challenge" 75 | |
| Table 50. Challenges Associated with "On-Board Processing" 75 | |
| Table 51. Potential Solutions to Address "On-Board Processing Challenges" 76 | |
| Table 52. Challenges Associated with "Dual RF/FSO" 77 | |
| Table 53. Potential Solutions to Address "Dual RF/FSO Challenges" 77 | |
| Table 54. Challenges Associated with "Routing Protocols" 78 | |
| Table 55. Potential Solutions to Address "Routing Protocols Challenges" 79 | |
| Table 56. Challenges Associated with "Network Function Virtualization" 80 | |
| Table 57. Potential Solutions to Address "Network Function Virtualization" 80 | |
| Table 58. Challenges Associated with "Network Slicing" 81 | |
| Table 59. Potential Solutions to Address "Network Slicing" 81 | |
| Table 60. Challenges Associated with "Software-Defined Satellite Networks" 81 | |
| Table 61. Potential Solutions to Address "Software-Defined Satellite Networks" 82 | |
| Table 62. Needs for Standardization 82 | |
| Table 63. Challenges Associated with "Standardization Needs" 83 | |
| Table 64. Potential Solutions to Address "Standardization Needs" 84 | |
| Table 66. Radio Frequency Band Definitions (as used by the satellite community) 101 | |
| Table 67. 3GPP TR documents on SATCOM [B3] 104 | |
| Table 68. 3GPP NTN Roadmap 107 | |

Figures

| 3 | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Figure 1. Cross-cut matrix | 4 | | | | |
| Figure 2. Use case-1 | 9 | | | | |
| Figure 3. Use case-2 | 10 | | | | |
| Figure 4. Use case-3 | 11 | | | | |
| Figure 5. Use case-4 | 11 | | | | |
| Figure 6. Use case-5 | 12 | | | | |
| Figure 7. Use case-6 | 12 | | | | |
| Figure 8. Use case-7 | 13 | | | | |
| Figure 9. Use case-8 | 14 | | | | |
| Figure 10. Use case-9 | 14 | | | | |
| Figure 11. Use case-10 | 15 | | | | |
| Figure 12. Use case-11 | 16 | | | | |
| Figure 13. Use case-12 | 17 | | | | |
| Figure 14. Use case-13 | 18 | | | | |
| Figure 15. Reference Archi | tecture-1: Non-virtualized 5G-Satellite Networks 20 | | | | |
| Figure 16. Another depiction | on of Reference Architecture-1 20 | | | | |
| Figure 17. Reference Archi | tecture-2 21 | | | | |
| Figure 18. Reference Archi | tecture-3 22 | | | | |
| Figure 19. Communications application domains typically addressed by satellite networks. Reference: ESOA 5G White Paper [11] 23 | | | | | |
| Figure 20. Modern Wireles | s Use Cases. Reference: IMT-2020/1-E, enhanced by present authors [12] 23 | | | | |
| Figure 21. MU-MIMO Dowr | nlink 29 | | | | |
| Figure 22. MU-MIMO with | Physical Layer Security 29 | | | | |
| Figure 23. MIMO Feeder Li | nks 30 | | | | |
| Figure 24. Atmospheric los | ses (a) and rain attenuation (b) 30 | | | | |
| Figure 25. Atmospheric Attenuation vs Frequency for Various Weather Conditions)40 | | | | | |
| Figure 26. Classification of ML applications for Non-Terrestrial Networks 44 | | | | | |
| Figure 27. 5G QoS requirements [5]. 55 | | | | | |
| Figure 28. 5G network fund | ctions architecture 60 | | | | |
| Figure 29. Use of 5G LEO satellites and Cloud Service 103 | | | | | |
| Figure 30. Evolution of 3GF | PP standardization in Releases [B2] 104 | | | | |
| Figure 31. Release 17 schee | dule 106 | | | | |

ABSTRACT

The fifth-generation (5G) Wireless Communication systems development has brought out a paradigm shift using advanced technologies e.g., softwarization, virtualization, Massive MIMO, ultradensification and introduction of new frequency bands. However, as the societal needs grow, and to satisfy UN's Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), 6G and beyond systems are envisioned. Non-Terrestrial Networks including satellite systems, Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) and High Altitude Platforms (HAPs) provide the best solutions to connect the unconnected, unserved and underserved in remote and rural areas in particular.

Over the past few decades, Geo Synchronous Orbits (GSO) satellite systems have been deployed to support broadband services, backhauling, Disaster Recovery and Continuity of Operations (DR-COOP) and emergency services. Recently, there is a considerable renewed interest in planning and developing non-GSO satellite systems. Within the next few years there will be several thousands of Low Earth Orbit (LEO) satellite systems, mega constellations, will be ready to provide global Internet services.

This report is the 2021 Edition of the INGR Satellite Working Group Report, subsequent to the First Edition [1]. The topics considered in this INGR Satellite WG 2021 Edition of the roadmap are the following: applications and services, reference architectures, new MIMO-based PHY, antenna and payload, machine learning and artificial intelligence, edge computing, QoS/QoE, security, network management and standardization. The work on the roadmap will continue towards the next edition of the roadmap addressing the details of the challenges and potential solutions for future networks such as 6G and beyond.

Key words: Satellite Communications, Satellite Networks, Waveforms, MIMO, OFDM, QoS, Security, Network Architecture, LEO, MEO, GEO, HAP, UAV, MEC, AI/ML

This file is a free sample of this chapter.

The full chapter is available exclusively to signed-in participants of the IEEE Future Networks Community.